

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

18

**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

19

20

JANA ELHIFNY, individually,

Case No. 3:04-CV-00583-LRH-PAL

21

Plaintiff,

**ORDER  
EXPANDING NUMBER OF  
DEPOSITIONS**

22

v.

23

CINDA GIFFORD, et. al.

24

Defendants.

25

26

27

28

Case No. 3:04-CV-00583-LRH-PAL

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER  
EXPANDING NUMBER OF DEPOSITIONS**

1 WHEREAS, under Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(a)(2)(A), Plaintiff and Defendants (collectively, the  
2 "Parties") each may take no more than ten depositions;

3 WHEREAS, both Parties wish to take additional depositions, but have agreed that each  
4 Party will take no more than 25 depositions; and

5 WHEREAS, while both Parties believe that 25 depositions will be sufficient, the Parties  
6 further agree that this Stipulation is not intended to foreclose a future stipulation further  
7 expanding the number of depositions.

8 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED THAT:

9 (1) Plaintiff may take more than ten, but no more than 25, depositions.  
10 (2) Defendants are may take more than ten, but no more than 25, depositions.

11 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

12 Dated: October 15, 2007

O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP

14 By: /s/ David S. Almeling

15 David S. Almeling  
16 Attorneys for Plaintiff JANA ELHIFNY

17 Dated: October 15, 2007

18 MAUPIN, COX & LeGOY

19 By: /s/ Christopher D. Jaime

20 Christopher D. Jaime  
21 Attorneys for Defendants, CINDA GIFFORD,  
et al.

22 IT IS SO ORDERED:  
23



24  
25 Honorable Larry R. Hicks  
26 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

27 DATED: October 16, 2007

28 SF1:691201.2